UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,943	06/25/2001	William A. Mittelstadt	56842US002	9282
	7590 08/06/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN		PATEL, NIHIR B		
S1. PAUL, WIN	1 33133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3772		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/888,943	MITTELSTADT ET	AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		

	LAGIIIIICI	Air Oille					
	NIHIR PATEL	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>July 9th, 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, and the period of the final rejection, even if timely filed, and the period of the final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains the properties of the properties. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven a status of the plain(s) is (as will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-47 and 49-71</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Patricia Bianco/	/Nihir Patel/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772	Examiner, Art Unit 3772						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The applicant argues that Lubeck does not teach a valve flap having a curvature from a first end to a second end when not attached to the valve body or the face mask. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Lubeck does teach a valve flap having a curvature from a first end to a second end when not attached to the valve body or the face mask. Figure 1 of the Lubeck reference shows a valve flap having a curvature from a first end to a second end when not attached to the valve body or the face mask (the spots where reference characters 1 and 24 are pointing at define a curvature from the first end 24 to a second end 1). The applicant further argues that Lubeck does not describe a unidirectional valve where at least a portion of the curvature of the valve flap is at least partially flatteneed when the valve flap seals the valve opening. First the valve of Lubeck is unidirectional as stated on page 2 ("These valve closure bodies are not under tension whatsoever for closed valves and open in any direction indicating unidirectional. Figure 5 shows that at least a portion of the curvature of the valve flap is at least partially flatteneed when the valve flap seals the valve opening.